IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA USDC. CLERK, CHARLESTON. SC

James Vang,) Civil Action No. 0:08-cv-2829-RMG-PJG
Petitioner,)
vs.	ORDER
Warden of Perry Correctional Institution,	
Respondent.	į́
	ý

Before the Court is Petitioner's motion to reconsider (Dkt. No. 95) in which Petitioner requests that this Court reconsider or alter its prior decision granting summary judgment in favor of Respondents and dismissing Petitioner's habeas petition. (Dkt. No. 92). For the reasons setforth herein, this Court denies Petitioner's motion to reconsider and the judgment of this Court stands.

Law/Analysis

In his motion to reconsider, Petitioner argues that this Court erred in its analysis. Plaintiff is incorrect. Petitioner has failed to meet the rigorous standards of *Strickland v*. Washington in order to warrant relief. Petitioner likewise cannot show that the state court unreasonably misapplied clearly established federal law in rejecting his claim. Therefore, Petitioner has not demonstrated that reasonable jurists would find this court's assessment of his constitutional claims debatable or wrong and that any dispositive procedural ruling by the district court is likewise debatable. See Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336, 123 S.Ct. 1029, 154 L.Ed.2d 931 (2003). Thus, no certificate of appealability should issue.

Conclusion

Based on the above, this Court denies Plaintiff's motion to reconsider.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

Richard Mark Gerge

United States District Court Judge

December <u>8</u>, 2010 Charleston, South Carolina